

ON THE ZEROS OF DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS. II
(WITH CORRECTIONS TO "ON THE ZEROS OF
DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS. I" AND
THE SUBSEQUENT PAPERS)

BY
AKIO FUJII

ABSTRACT. Some consequences of the main theorem of *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions*. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974), 225–235 are proved.

1. Introduction. We shall give complete proofs of some consequences of the main theorem of [3]. They were first announced in [1] and have been improved in the form announced and used in [12]. In the meantime, [4]–[11] have appeared. We shall on this occasion give some corrections to [1]–[12].

Our basic estimates which we shall use below are the following (α') , (α'') and (β) . Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann zeta function and $S(t) = 1/\pi \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ as usual. Let $N(t)$ be the number of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in $0 < \text{Im } s \leq t$. Let $T > T_0$, k be an integer > 1 and h be a positive number. We shall denote positive absolute constants by A , A_1 and A_2 . We have adapted Selberg's approach [15] to get the following (α) which is our main theorem of [3].

(α) If h is positive and bounded, then

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt = \frac{(2k)!}{(2\pi)^{2k} k!} T(2 \log(3 + h \log T))^k \\ + O((Ak)^{2k} T(\log(3 + h \log T))^{k-1/2}).$$

We remark that the condition for h , (namely, "bounded") has been remarked to the author by Professors Gallagher and Mueller (cf. Added in proof of Gallagher and Mueller [13]) and that the exponent to Ak is $2k$ as is remarked in p. 172 of [12]. (The right-hand side of 1.13 of p. 172 of [12] should be added by $HA^k(\log y)^{-\nu}$.) We shall use (α) in the following modified forms.

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt \ll (Ak)^k T(\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3) \cdot e^k)^k, \quad (\alpha')$$

where $h \wedge 1 = \min\{h, 1\}$.

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt \gg (Ak)^k T(\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3))^k \\ \text{if } k \ll \log \log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3). \quad (\alpha'')$$

Received by the editors March 11, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10H05, 10H10.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta function, Dirichlet L -functions, distribution of zeros.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/81/0000-0401/\$03.00

We shall also use the following estimate

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k+1} dt \ll (Ak)^k T (\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3) \cdot e^k)^k. \quad (\beta)$$

We shall omit writing the proofs of these, since they can be derived similarly. We remark that the remainder term in (α) can be written as

$$O((Ak)^k (\log(e^k(3 + h \log T)))^{k-1/2}).$$

Using (α') , (α'') and (β) we shall prove first

THEOREM 1.¹ *Let $T \geq T_0$ and C be a constant $> C_0$. Then for positive proportion of t in $T < t < 2T$,*

$$N\left(t + \frac{2\pi C}{\log T}\right) - N(t) > C + A \sqrt{\log C \cdot \log \log C}$$

and for positive proportion of t in $T < t < 2T$,

$$N\left(t + \frac{2\pi C}{\log T}\right) - N(t) < C - A \sqrt{\log C \cdot \log \log C}.$$

We denote the n th positive imaginary part of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ by γ_n . Then as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we see that for positive proportion of γ_n in $T < \gamma_n < 2T$,

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n}{r} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\log T} (1 - \exp(-Ar^2/\log(r+3)))$$

and for positive proportion of γ_n in $T < \gamma_n < 2T$,

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n}{r} \geq \frac{2\pi}{\log T} (1 + \exp(-Ar^2/\log(r+3))),$$

where r is an integer ≥ 1 . We remark that this corollary for $r = 1$ was shown to the author by Professor Montgomery.

Next, using (α') , we shall prove

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $T \geq T_0$, j is an integer ≥ 1 , k is an integer $\geq j$, r is an integer ≥ 1 and h is a positive number $\gg (\log T)^{-1}$. We put*

$$d(\gamma_n, r) = (\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n)/r.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \\ & \ll \frac{(Ak)^{k+j-1} (1 + 1/k)^{(j-1)(2k-j/2)} (\log(e^k r h \log T / (1 + 1/k)^{j-1}))^k}{B(k, j) (r \log T)^{j-1} \log T \cdot (r h \log T)^{2k-j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B(k, 1) = 1$ and $B(k, j) = (2k-1)(2k-2) \cdots (2k-j+1)$ for $j \geq 2$.

Using Theorem 2 we shall prove the following two corollaries.

¹The interval $(T, 2T)$ may be replaced by $(0, T)$, since the intervals $(T, 2T)$ in (α) , (α') , (α'') and (β) may be replaced by $(0, T)$.

COROLLARY 1. For each integral $k \geq 1$ and integral $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\gamma_n \leq T} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \ll \frac{1}{(\log T)^k}.$$

COROLLARY 2. If $C > C_0$ and r is an integer ≥ 1 , then

$$\frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_n \leq T \\ d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T}} \cdot 1 \leq e^{-ArC}.$$

We shall also prove the following theorem using (α') .

THEOREM 3. Let $K > K_0$. Then "the number of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in $0 < \text{Im } s \leq T$ whose multiplicities are $\geq K$ " $\leq e^{-AK}N(T)$.

We shall prove Theorem 1 in §2, Theorem 2 and its corollaries in §3 and Theorem 3 in §4. In §5 we shall give some corrections and complements to [1]–[12]. We remark finally that the results above can be proved also for Dirichlet L -functions if we suppose that the modulus is $\ll T^{(1/4)-\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Finally, the author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Gallagher, Professor Montgomery and Professor Mueller for their valuable suggestions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We put $f(t) = S(t+h) - S(t)$ and $h = 2\pi C/\log T$. We put $E_M = \{t \in (T, 2T); f(t) > M\}$ for $M \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_M(t)$ be the characteristic function of E_M . Let $C > C_0$ and let $k = [A \log \log C]$ with an appropriate positive absolute constant A . We consider the integral $I = \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t) \varphi_0(t) dt$.

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t) \varphi_0(t) \varphi_M(t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t) \varphi_0(t) (1 - \varphi_M(t)) dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{E_M} \left(\int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2(2k+1)} dt \right)^{1/2} + M^{2k+1}T \\ &\leq \sqrt{E_M} (Ak)^{k+1/2} (\log C)^{k+1/2} \sqrt{T} + M^{2k+1}T, \end{aligned}$$

by (α') . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2k+1} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{2T} f(t)^{2k+1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I_1 + \frac{1}{2} I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. By (α') , (α'') and (β) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\geq \frac{(\int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2k} dt)^{(2k-1)/2(k-1)}}{(\int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2(k-1)}} \\ &\gg (T(Ak)^k (\log C)^k)^{(2k-1)/2(k-1)} (T \log C)^{-1/2(k-1)} \\ &\gg T(A_1 k)^{k(2k-1)/2(k-1)} (\log C)^{k+1/2} \\ &\gg T(A_2 k)^k (\log C)^k \\ &\gg I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$|E_M| \geq \left(\frac{T(Ak)^{k(2k-1)/2(k-1)} (\log C)^{k+1/2} - M^{2k+1} T}{\sqrt{T} (Ak)^{k+1/2} (\log C)^{k+1/2}} \right)^2 \\ \geq T e^{-A \log \log C},$$

provided that $M \ll \sqrt{\log C \log \log C}$. This proves the first part of Theorem 1. The second part of Theorem 1 can be derived similarly.

3. Proof of Theorem 2 and its corollaries.

3-1. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We shall prove our theorem by induction on j . We remark that $d(\gamma_n, r) \ll (\log \log \log T)^{-1}$ for $\sqrt{T} \leq \gamma_n \leq T$ by 9.12 of [17]. Suppose that $d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h$. Then

$$\int_{\gamma_n}^{\gamma_{n+r} - rh/2} \left(S\left(t + \frac{hr}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt \gg (hr \log T)^{2k} \left(\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n - \frac{rh}{2} \right) \\ \gg (\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n) (hr \log T)^{2k}.$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r) \ll \frac{1}{r(hr \log T)^{2k}} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} \int_{\gamma_n}^{\gamma_{n+r} - rh/2} \left(S\left(t + \frac{rh}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt \\ \ll \frac{1}{(hr \log T)^{2k}} \int_{\sqrt{T}}^{AT} \left(S\left(t + \frac{rh}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt \\ \ll \frac{(Ak)^k T (\log(e^k rh \log T))^k}{(hr \log T)^{2k}}.$$

Next, suppose that our theorem is correct for $j \geq 1$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^{j+1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j (1+k) \left(d(\gamma_n, r) - \frac{h}{1+1/k} \right) \\ = \frac{(1+k)}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{d(\gamma_n, r)} du \\ \leq \frac{(1+k)}{N(T)} \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{A/\log \log \log T} \left[\sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \geq u \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \right] du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \frac{k(Ak)^{k+j-1}(1+1/k)^{(j-1)(2k-j/2)}}{B(k,j)(\log T)^j r^{j-1}} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{A/\log \log \log T} \frac{(\log(e^k r u \log T / (1+1/k)^{j-1}))^k}{(ru \log T)^{2k-(j-1)}} du \\
&\ll \frac{(Ak)^{k+j}(1+1/k)^{j(2k-(j+1)/2)} (\log(e^k r h \log T / (1+1/k)^j))^k}{B(k,j+1)(\log T)^{j+1} r^j (rh \log T)^{2k-j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves our theorem.

3-2. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\equiv \sum_{\gamma_n < T} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k + \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \leq C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \\
&= S_1 + S_2,
\end{aligned}$$

say, where we suppose that $C > C_0$. By Theorem 2,

$$S_1 \ll \frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k N(T)}{(\log T)^k r^{k-1} (rC)^{k+1}} + \frac{N(T)}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$S_2 \ll \frac{C^k}{(\log T)^k} N(T).$$

Hence we get, by taking $C = (Ak/r^2)^{k/(2k+1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\ll \frac{N(T)}{(\log T)^k} \left[\frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k}{r^{k-1} (rC)^{k+1}} + C^k \right] \\
&\ll \frac{N(T)(Ak)^{3k/2}}{(\log T)^k r^{k-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $r^2 \ll k$. Thus we get Corollary 1.

3-3. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. Suppose that $1 \ll rC \ll A^k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\equiv \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} 1 \\
&< \left(\frac{\log T}{C} \right)^k \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k + \frac{N(T)}{\sqrt{T}} \\
&\ll \frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k N(T)}{(rC)^{2k} C} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2}) \\
&\ll \frac{(Ak)^{2k} N(T)}{(rC)^{2k} C} \ll e^{-ArC} N(T)
\end{aligned}$$

by taking $k = [A_1 rC]$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3. Let $h \log T = f(k)$, where f will be chosen later. We consider the integral $I \equiv \int_T^{2T} (N(t+h) - N(t))^{2k} dt$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \int_T^{2T} \sum_{t < \gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < t+h} \cdot 1 dt \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{T < \gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h \\ \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) > \text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}} \int_{\text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}^{\text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)})} dt \\
 &\geq \sum_{\substack{T < \gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h \\ \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) > \text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}} \left(h - \left(\text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) \right) \right) \\
 &\geq h \sum_{T < \gamma^{(1)} = \dots = \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h} \cdot 1 \\
 &\geq h \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma^{(j)}$ runs over the imaginary parts of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$ and $M_l(2T, T)$ is the number counted simply of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in $T < \text{Im } s < 2T$ whose multiplicities are exactly l .

On the other hand, by (α') , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\ll T \left(f(k) + \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\log(e^k f(k))} \right)^{2k} A^{2k} \\
 &\ll N(T) h f(k)^{-1} \left(f(k) + \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\log(e^k f(k))} \right)^{2k} A^{2k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we take $f(k) = k$. Then $I \ll N(T) h (Ak)^{2k}$. Hence we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T) \ll N(T) (Ak)^{2k}.$$

Now

$$K^{2k-1} \sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l M_l(2T, T) \leq \sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T) \ll N(T) (Ak)^{2k}.$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l M_l(2T, T) \ll \frac{(Ak)^{2k} N(T)}{K^{2k-1}} \leq e^{-AK} N(T)$$

if $K > K_0$.

5. Some corrections and complements. In this section we shall give some corrections and complements to [1]–[12] using the same notations as in [1]–[12].

5-1. As we have noticed in §1, h in (α) must be bounded. Similarly, h 's in 1.2, 1.15 and 1.17 of p. 140 of [1], 1.10 of p. 348 of [4], 1.20 of p. 51 of [7], 1.7 of p. 70 of [10] and 1.24 of p. 417 and 1.27 of p. 424 of [11] must be bounded. We may remark that since we have used bounded h in the applications, these corrections are harmless.

5-2. In p. 228 of [3], the remainder term in 1.11 should be multiplied by k^2 and $k!$ of 1.12 should be $(Ak)^k$. The remainder term in 1.4 of p. 230 of [3] should be multiplied by k^2 . The proof of Lemma 2 in p. 228 of [3] should be simplified and corrected as follows. We may suppose that $k > 2$ and $a(p) = 1$, for simplicity. We put $F_1(x) = \sum_{p < x} 1/p$. Then

$$\sum_{p_i < x}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k} - k! F_1^k(x) \ll k! \sum_{p_i < x}' \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k} \\ \ll k! k^2 F_1^{k-2}(x),$$

where $*$ indicates that we sum over all primes $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2k} < x$ such that $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = p_{k+1} p_{k+2} \cdots p_{2k}$ and the prime ($'$) indicates that we sum over all p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k such that some p_i and some p_j are equal for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

5-3. (iii) and (iv) of p. 234 of [3] should be erased.

5-4. $C\sqrt{q} \cdot \log \log q / \sqrt{\log C}$ in 1.18 of p. 61, 1.4 of p. 62 and 1.5 of p. 63 of [8] should be replaced by $C \log C \sqrt{q} \log^2 q$.

5-5. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1 in the present paper, ε in 1.15 and 1.17 of p. 140 of [1], 1.11 and 1.13 of p. 399 of [6], 1.5 and 1.12 of p. 50 and 1.4, 1.6, 1.18 and 1.23 of p. 56 of [7] should be omitted.

5-6. [4] is generalized in [12].

5-7. [9] should be corrected and improved as in the present paper.

5-8. (1) in p. 52 of [7] can be improved as follows.

$$\sum_x' (R_x(t, \chi))^{2k} \ll (Ak)^{2k} q. \quad (1')$$

We may omit writing the proofs of (1') above and (2) in p. 52 of [7], (even though it was announced that these proofs would be published).

5-9. In the statement of Theorem 2 of [5], the condition $\lambda(q) \leq t(q)$ should be replaced by $\lambda(q) = o(t(q))$, because $(q-2)/2\pi$ should be multiplied to the first term of 1.16, the first two terms of 1.17 and the term of 1.18 of p. 142 and the final result is

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq |t(q)|} e^{i a \gamma} = (q-2) \sin(at(q)) (\log(qt(q)/2\pi)) / \pi a \\ + O(q(at(q) + a^{-1})).$$

We remark also that $O(q)$ should be added to the right-hand side of 1.13, 1.14 and 1.15 in p. 142.

REFERENCES

1. A. Fujii, *On the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in short intervals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 139–141.
2. ———, *A comparative study of the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 199–201.
3. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974), 225–235.
4. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions. III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **219** (1976), 347–349.
5. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions. IV*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 139–143.
6. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions. V*, Acta Arith. **28** (1976), 395–403.

7. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions*. VI, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 49–58.
8. ———, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions*. VII, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 59–68.
9. ———, *On the difference between r consecutive ordinates of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Proc. Japan Acad. **51** (1975), 741–743.
10. ———, *On the sums of the ordinates of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **24** (1975), 69–71.
11. ———, *A remark on the zeros of some L -functions*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29** (1977), 417–426.
12. ———, *On the uniformity of the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **302** (1978), 167–185.
13. P. X. Gallagher and J. H. Mueller, *Primes and zeros in short intervals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 205–220.
14. H. L. Montgomery, *The pair correlation of the zeros of the zeta function*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1973, pp. 181–193.
15. A. Selberg, *Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Årbok Univ. Bergen Mat.-Natur. Ser. **48** (1946), 89–155.
16. ———, *Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet L -functions*, Skr. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo I **3** (1946), 2–62.
17. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RIKKYO UNIVERSITY, TOKYO, JAPAN